

CLAIMS STATUS LIST

In compliance with 37 CFR § 1.173(c), below is a Claims Status List, listing the status of all patent claims as of this amendment, and an explanation of the patent disclosure support for all changes made to these claims.

| <u>Claim</u> | <u>Status</u> | <u>Support</u> |
|--------------|---------------|---|
| Claim 1 | Pending | Changes made to incorporate the elements of patent claims 2 and 5 into patent claim 1, and to correct typographical errors. |
| Claim 2 | Canceled | |
| Claim 3 | Pending | Changes made to correct typographical errors, and to correct the dependence of claim 3 from claim 2 to claim 1, upon incorporation of the elements of claims 2 and 5 into claim 1. |
| Claim 4 | Pending | Changes made to clarify the claim and to provide proper antecedent basis. |
| Claim 5 | Canceled | |
| Claim 6 | Pending | Changes made to correct the dependence of claim 6 from claim 5 to claim 1, upon incorporation of the elements of claims 2 and 5 into claim 1. |
| Claim 7 | Pending | Changes made to correct the dependence of claim 7 from claim 2 to claim 1, upon incorporation of the elements of claims 2 and 5 into claim 1, and to provide proper antecedent basis. |
| Claim 8 | Pending | No changes made relative to the issued patent. |
| Claim 9 | Pending | No changes made relative to the issued patent. |
| Claim 10 | Pending | Changes made to correct typographical errors, and to change the dependence of claim 10 from claim 8 to claim 1. |
| Claim 11 | Pending | Changes made to correct typographical errors and to provide proper antecedent basis. |

| <u>Claim</u> | <u>Status</u> | <u>Support</u> |
|--------------|---------------|---|
| Claim 12 | Pending | Changes made to correct typographical errors and to provide proper antecedent basis. |
| Claim 13 | Pending | Changed dependence of claim 13 from claim 12 to claim 1. |
| Claim 14 | Pending | Changes made to clarify the claim and to change the dependence of claim 14 from claim 7 to claim 1. |
| Claim 15 | Pending | Changes made to provide proper antecedent basis. |
| Claim 16 | Pending | Changed dependence of claim 16 from claim 14 to claim 1. |
| Claim 17 | Pending | Changes made to clarify the claim and to correct typographical errors. |
| Claim 18 | Pending | Changes made to provide proper antecedent basis, and to remove unclear and extraneous phrase from the claim. |
| Claim 19 | Pending | Changes made to provide proper antecedent basis. |
| Claim 20 | Pending | Changes made to correct typographical errors and to provide proper antecedent basis. |
| Claim 21 | Pending | Changes made to correct typographical errors and to provide proper antecedent basis. |
| Claim 22 | Pending | Changes made to change dependence of claim 22 from claim 21 to claim 1, to correct typographical errors and to provide proper antecedent basis. |
| Claim 23 | Canceled | |
| Claim 24 | Canceled | |
| Claim 25 | Canceled | |
| Claim 26 | Canceled | |
| Claim 27 | Canceled | |
| Claim 28 | Canceled | |

| <u>Claim</u> | <u>Status</u> | <u>Support</u> |
|--------------|---------------|----------------|
| Claim 29 | Canceled | |
| Claim 30 | Canceled | |
| Claim 31 | Canceled | |
| Claim 32 | Canceled | |
| Claim 33 | Canceled | |
| Claim 34 | Canceled | |
| Claim 35 | Canceled | |
| Claim 36 | Canceled | |
| Claim 37 | Canceled | |
| Claim 38 | Canceled | |
| Claim 39 | Canceled | |
| Claim 40 | Canceled | |
| Claim 41 | Canceled | |
| Claim 42 | Canceled | |
| Claim 43 | Canceled | |
| Claim 44 | Canceled | |
| Claim 45 | Canceled | |
| Claim 46 | Canceled | |
| Claim 47 | Canceled | |
| Claim 48 | Canceled | |
| Claim 49 | Canceled | |
| Claim 50 | Canceled | |
| Claim 51 | Canceled | |

| <u>Claim</u> | <u>Status</u> | <u>Support</u> |
|--------------|---------------|----------------|
| Claim 52 | Canceled | |
| Claim 53 | Canceled | |
| Claim 54 | Canceled | |
| Claim 55 | Canceled | |
| Claim 56 | Canceled | |
| Claim 57 | Canceled | |

REMARKS

These remarks, and the above amendments, are responsive to the final Office action dated December 6, 2006 and the Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary dated May 9, 2008, and are submitted pursuant to discussions with Examiner Mark Hageman. In the December 6, 2006 Office action, the Examiner Joseph Rodriguez indicated that claims 5 and 6 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim (*i.e.* claim 1) and any intervening claims (*i.e.* claim 2). In responses dated April 6, 2007 and October 24, 2007, applicant amended claim 1 to incorporate all the elements of claims 2 and 5 into claim 1, amended claim 6 to correct the dependence of claim 6 from claim 5 to claim 1, and canceled all the remaining claims. However, applicant mistakenly canceled claims 3-4, and 7-22 of U.S. Patent No. 5,819,950, which all depended from claim 1 and would be allowable for at least the same reasons as claim 1. Applicant's counsel discussed this mistake with Examiner Hageman, who indicated that the mistake could be corrected with a supplemental amendment.

As such, applicants are filing the present amendment to ensure that the subject matter of claims 3-4 and 7-22 is included in the reissued patent. The changes made to claims 1, 2, and 5 necessitated further amendment to some of claims 3-4 and 7-22 in order to ensure proper antecedent basis. Other changes have been made to claims 3-4 and 7-22 to correct typographical errors, clarify the claim language, or change claim dependence, but all of the claims still depend from the same base claim, namely, claim 1.

As discussed in the responses dated April 6, 2007 and October 24, 2007, claim 1 recites the identical subject matter as was originally issued as claim 5 in U.S. Patent No.

5,819,950 (the '950 patent), the subject of this reissue application. Likewise, claim 6 recites the identical subject matter as was originally issued as claim 6 of the '950 patent. Claims 3-4 and 6-22 depend from claim 1, and are thus allowable for all the same reasons as claim 1.

Applicant reserves the right to pursue, in a continuation reissue application, any claims that are the same as, or are broader and/or narrower than, any claims that (a) originally issued in the '950 patent, or (b) were presented during prosecution of the present reissue application.

Applicant also submits herewith a Supplemental Reissue Oath/Declaration of James Paschal McCloskey, Under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1), containing a proper statement of error in accordance with the requirements set forth in the Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary dated May 9, 2008.

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

In view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the application and allowance of the pending claims. If the Examiner has any questions, or if a telephone interview would in any way advance prosecution of the application, please contact the undersigned attorney of record.

CERTIFICATE OF EFS FILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence was electronically filed using the USPTO's EFS-web on March 13, 2009.

/s/ Jan E. Sands
Jan E. Sands

Respectfully submitted,

KOLISCH HARTWELL, P.C.

/s/ Peter E. Heuser
Peter E. Heuser
Registration No. 27,902
Customer No. 23581
Attorney for Applicant/Assignee
520 S.W. Yamhill Street, Suite 200
Portland, Oregon 97204
Telephone: (503) 224-6655
Facsimile: (503) 295-6679